State v. Kirkpatrick, 179-747; Allen v. Reidsville, 178-513; State v. Perry, 151-661; St. George v. Hardie, 147-88; State v. Cantwell, 142-614; In re Spease Ferry, 138-259; State v. Biggs, 133-729; Robinson v. Lamb, 126-492; Garsed v. Greensboro, 126-166; Bennett v. Comrs., 125-468; Bryan v. Patrick, 124-661; Guy v. Comrs., 122-471; Thrift v. Elizabeth City, 122-31; Railway v. Railway, 114-725; State v. Moore, 104-718; Hughes v. Hodges, 102-236; Bridge Co. v. Comrs., 81-504; Railroad v. Reid, 64-155; Simonton v. Lanier, 71-503; State v. McGowen, 37-9; State v. Gerrard, 37-210; Griffin v. Graham, 8-96; Bank v. Taylor, 6-266.

Retrospective laws, punishing acts committed before Expost facto the existence of such laws, and by them only declared criminal, laws, are oppressive, unjust and incompatible with liberty; wherefore no cx post facto law ought to be made. No law taxing retrospectively sales, purchases, or other acts previously done, ought to be passed.

Const. 1868; Const. 1776, Decl. Rights, s. 24.

Const. 1868; Const. 1776, Decl. Rights, s. 24.

State v. Broadway, 157-598; Penland v. Barnard, 146-378; Anderson v. Wilkins, 142-154; Robinson v. Lamb, 129-16; City of Wilmington v. Cronly, 122-383; Culbreth v. Downing, 121-205; Morrison v. McDonald, 113-327; Kelly v. Fleming, 113-133; Lowe v. Harris, 112-472; State v. Ramsour, 113-642; Gilchrist v. Middleton, 108-705; Leak v. Gay, 107-468; Williams v. Weaver, 94-134; State v. Littlefield, 93-614; Burton v. Speers and Clark, 92-503; King v. Foscue, 91-116; Strickland v. Draughan, 91-103; Wilkerson v. Buchanan, 83-296; Whitehead v. Latham, 83-232; Tabor v. Ward, 83-291; Pearsall v. Kenan, 79-472; Lilly v. Purcell, 78-82; Young v. Henderson, 76-420; Libbett v. Maultsby, 71-345; Ethridge v. Vernoy, 71-184; Franklin v. Vannoy, 66-145; Johnson v. Winslow, 64-27; Jacobs v. Smallwood, 63-112; State v. Keith, 63-144; Robeson v. Broyn, 63-554; State v. Bell, 61-76; Hinton v. Hinton, 61-410; Cooke v. Cooke, 61-583; State v. Shannonhouse, 61-209; Barnes v. Barnes, 53-366; State v. Bond, 49-9; Phillips v. Cameron, 48-391; Salter v. Bryan, 26-494; Taylor v. Harrison, 13-374; Oats v. Darden, 5-500.

Sec. 33. Slavery and involuntary servitude, otherwise than for Slavery procrime, whereof the parties shall have been duly convicted, shall be and are hereby forever prohibited within the State.

bibited.

Const. 1868.

State v. Hairston, 63-451,

SEC. 34. The limits and boundaries of the State shall be and State boundaries. remain as they now are.

Const. 1868; Const. 1776, Decl. Rights, s. 25.

Sec. 35. All courts shall be open; and every person for an in- Courts shall be jury done him in his lands, goods, person or reputation, shall have open. remedy by due course of law, and right and justice administered without sale, denial or delay,

Const. 1868.

Oshorn v. Leach, 135-628; Jones v. Comrs., 130-461; Driller Co. v. Worth, 118-746; Dunn v. Underwood, 116-526; Hewlett v. Nutt, 79-263.

Sec. 36. No soldier shall, in time of peace, be quartered in any soldiers in time house without the consent of the owner; nor in time of war but of peace. in a manner prescribed by law.

Const. 1868.

Sec. 37. This enumeration of rights shall not be construed to Other rights of impair or deny others retained by the people; and all powers not the people. herein delegated remain with the people.

Const. 1868.

State v. Williams, 146-618; Daniels v. Homer, 139-237; Thrift v. Elizabeth City, 122-38; Railroad v. Holden, 63-410; Nichols v. McKee, 68-430; State v. Keith, 63-144; Railroad v. Reid, 64-155.